



IPv6 Research

University of Mauritius

in collaboration with **Data Communications Ltd.**

25 Nov 2008



DRIVEN BY INNOVATION





• Summary:

- o Data Transfer in IPv4 and IPv6.
- o Comparative study of routing algorithms in IPv6 and IPv4.
- o Tunnelling Algorithms for IPv6 in Linux.









o Comparing latency during file transfer in IPv4 and IPv6.

o Rational:

- o the IPv6 header is bigger than the IPv4 header.
- o Optional headers may increase computation time in routers.
- o Implications:
 - o higher header to data ratio.
 - o consequences in 3rd world and developing countries with poor bandwidth.





- o measuring bandwidth in IPv4 and IPv6 networks under different conditions.
- o Predicting bandwidth during the transition period.

• Expected Outcomes:

o Recommend whether MRT will be able to deploy IPv6 over High End antennas.





o **Team:**

- o UoM Computer Science and Engineering Dept.
 - o Dr. O.Moonian, Mr. B.Durgahee, Mr. P.Grosset, Mr. S.Pudaruth, Mr. A.Chutoo.
- o Mauritius Radio Telescope (MRT).
 - o Dr. G.Beehary.
- o Data Communications Ltd.
 - o Mr. R. Ramsurrun, Mr. K. Reddy.







• **Objective:**

o Study limitations of existing routing protocols with respect to increasing IPv6 deployment.

o **Rational:**

- o increased address space.
- o the number of entries in the routing tables will increase tremendously.
- o looking up the addresses in tables will need to be improved.
- o (NAT will be minimized in v6, so even more nodes with a larger address space).











• Methodology:

- o Perform simulations with current IPv4/v6 status.
- o Increase v6 loads to find threshold when increase in v6 will result in high latency at router levels.
- o Propose solutions to existing routing algorithms.

• Expected Outcome:

- o Define ratio of v4/v6 when latencies at routers become substantial.
- o Find working solutions to efficient routing in v6.







o **Team:**

- o Computer Science and Engineering.
 - o A.Chutoo, P.Bhoyroo, S.Aumeer, V.Dayal.

DRIVEN BY INNOVATION







• **Objective:**

o Comparison of performance of the different tunnelling schemes, under Linux, for varying network loads and varying data traffic types.









o **Rational**

- o During the transition period IPv6 and IPv4 network will need to coexist.
- o Communication between IPv6 host will need to travel through IPv4 network segments.
- o Tunnelling will be required for this purpose.
- o Comparison of existing tunnelling algorithms under different traffic types and traffic loads will help identify the best scheme to be used under a given traffic condition.





• Methodology

- Measurements of parameters such as latency, bandwidth and packet loss rate achievable on a LAN using the different tunnelling technologies and with varying traffics loads and types.
- o Measurements of the above will be performed for WAN using a network simulator.
- o IPV6 QoS support abilities will be used and the effect of the different tunnelling schemes on QoS support will be studied.









• Expected Outcome

o Comparison tables and graphs of the different tunnelling schemes, based on different parameters, under varying network scenarios.

o **Team:**

o Mr. O.Moonian, Mr. P.K.Jeeanah.









Thank You.

DRIVEN BY INNOVATION

