IANA Stewardship Transition Number community component

By: Mwendwa Kivuva Ernest Byaruhanga Janvier Ngnoulaye

Date: 4 December 2015





The community process – CRISP Team

AFRINIC APNIC RIPE **ARIN LACNIC** -Janvier -Dr. Govind -Esteban -Andrei -Bill Ngnoulaye -Izumi Woodcock Lescano Robachevsky -Mwendwa Okutani -Nico -John -Nurani Kivuva -Craig Ng Scheper **Sweeting** Nimpuno -Ernest -Andres -Michael -Paul Byaruhanga Piazza Abejuela Rendek


AFRINIC 23 POINTE NOIRE 28 NOVEMBER - 4 DECEMBER

CRISP TEAM COMMITMENT

- Committed to the success of this transition
- Transparency throughout the discussion process,
- Respect principles in the CRISP proposal: separation of policy dev and operational roles; description of services provided to RIRs; transparent and accountable reporting by IFO; security, performance & audit requirements; Review of IANA operations; failure to perform; term of contract; continuity of operations; IPR; dispute resolution; cost based fee



Number function in the Combined proposal





CRISP work post submission

- Present, effectively communicate and vouch for proposal, e.g. Improved CRISP website
- Ensure proposal integrity: IPR, Termination clause, SLA, Review committee
- Actively participate in overall IANA stewardship transition process, Representing the Numbers proposal, Coordination with other communities, Ensuring we meet transition timelines





- Sep 2015: IANA Functions contract extended by one year
- Oct 2015: ICG has completed combined proposal
- January 2016: CCWG Accountability expected to completes its work
- Submission of ICG and CCWG Accountability reports to NTIA by ICANN board
- September 2016: Expiry of IANA Functions contract





 The Names portion of this proposal is conditioned on ICANN-level accountability mechanisms currently under development in the Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability (CCWG). The Numbers and Protocol Parameters portions of the proposal are complete, ready for implementation, and have no dependencies on the work of the CCWG or other remaining processes.



Number Proposal components

- IANA function stability & reliability: ICANN to continue as the IANA Numbering Services Operator
- SLA last draft review: Replace role of NTIA with the RIRs
- Review Committee formation of RC
- IPR cross community negotiations



Intellectual Property Rights

- We want IPR framework finalized before submission to the NTIA
- IANAPLAN has produced a high level description
- CRISP has published its minimum requirements

The three communities are talking @







- Termination clause: Either party can terminate agreement
- SLA development to take place in public
- Final public call for comments



REVIEW COMMITTEE CHARTER – DELIVERABLE At AFRINIC

- Internet Number Community IANA Numbering Services Review Committee Charter in place
- https://www.nro.net/wp-content/uploads/Review-Committee-Charter_FINALclean.pdf

REVIEW COMMITTEE FUNCTIONS



- Advise and assist NRO EC in its periodic review of the service level of the IANA Numbering Services provided to the Internet Number Community.
- Report to the NRO EC concerns regarding the performance of the IANA Numbering Services Operator, including any observed failure or near failure by the IANA Numbering Services Operator to meet its obligations under the SLA
- Submit report to the NRO EC once per year



RC limitations

 The Review Committee does not have any power to commit the NRO EC to any recommendation or decision made by it, except where and to the extent that it has express delegated authority from the NRO EC

AFRINIC 23 POINTE NOIRE 28 NOVEMBER - 4 DECEMBER

RC Composition

- 15 members total
- two community appointees Not staff
- one RIR staff from each region non voting
- RIRs to appoint RC members by methods of their own choosing.
- RIRs shall determine the term of appointment for its RC members.
- Each RIR may, from time to time, remove and/or replace any of its appointed RC members



RC Proceedings

- Meet entirely via teleconference
- Meetings must be held at least twice each year, and more often as required
- RC final report and/or recommendation to the NRO EC, it must first consult and seek the feedback and comment from the Internet Number Community



RC members, which direction AFRINIC?

Recommendations

- Community input: AFRINIC ASO-AC members
- Board decision: SGM

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

- Term limits of RC members
- RC's mandate to start after transition

References



- CRISP Team: https://www.nro.net/crisp-team
- Numbers Community IANA Proposal: http:// www.nro.net/crisp-final-proposal
- FAQ: http://www.nro.net/crisp-proposal-faq
- IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group (ICG): http://www.ianacg.org

Thank you for your Attention

Questions?



twitter.com/ afrinic



flickr.com/ afrinic



facebook.com/ afrinic



linkedin.com/company/afrinic



youtube.com/ afrinic media



www. afrinic .net