



Alice Munyua  
Chair, 2011 IGF

- 6<sup>th</sup> IGF Nairobi, Kenya 27-30 September 2011. : **"The Internet as a catalyst for change: access, development, freedoms and innovation"**.
- Over 2,000 participants from 125 countries.
- Open, multi-stakeholder consultations.
- 4 main sessions with feeder workshops.
- 122 workshops, best practise forums, dynamic coalition meetings and open forums.
- Remote hubs in 47 locations, for more than 823. 2,500 connections made from 89 countries.
- Web cast, with video and audio streaming. Real time transcriptions and interpretation

## **Sub theme: Internet Governance for Development (IG4D)**

- Significance of Internet governance for development as a core element of the development agenda.
- Development outcome of relevant policies and enabling environment focusing on evidence based policy making framing issues.
- MS policy process providing the objectives of capacity building and reshaping institutional procedures.
- Mobile Internet current interplay between devices, network facilities, prices and services.
- Mobile Internet access bringing into sharp focus other key policy areas
- Access to the Internet as a human right

- Human rights dimension provide mechanism for understanding and addressing violations.
- More than access : growth in access; capacity gaps; need for multiple fora to engage in IG issues; coherent policy framework to link public and private interests.
- Centrality of Internet in development has provided a new dynamic.
- Well-established policy frameworks in the ICT sector to support Development.
- Costs of access.
- Conducive intellectual property regime.
- Practicalities of developing and distributing free and open software.
- South-south collaboration and Internet governance interventions around traffic flows and regional Internet exchange points enhance international capacity

# Emerging Issues:

**Is governance different for the mobile Internet from the wired Internet?**

- Fastest growing technology.
- Mobile broadband subscriptions to reach 3.8 billion by 2015. Traffic from wireless devices to exceed wired devices.
- Spectrum allocation , management and new types of devices. Smart devices and smart allocation of spectrum.
- 4G and LTE for increased speeds and security, important to robustness and trust.
- Functionality of mobile devices for open innovation. Maintaining an open web platform.
- Mobile and wired important.

## Access and Diversity

explored the ways in which access to the Internet can be understood as a human right.

- Access to information and freedom of expression human rights. UN rapporteur on Human Rights called for access to the Internet to be a human right.
- Access linked to the concept of accessibility.
- Access without accessibility is meaningless;
- Accessibility has several dimensions including, affordability, relevance, and design.
- Increasing access and accessibility can lead to inclusiveness and economic and social independence.

- Design access and accessibility into the products and services at their origins. Incentives required.
- Affordability major barrier to access and accessibility.
- Extending debate on access and accessibility beyond issues of connectivity to freedom of expression and freedom of association.
- Technology meaningless without the informational and transformational potentials.
- Multi-lingualism and all forms of literacy important.
- Potential of the Internet and its institutional arrangements to support innovations to deliver diversity.

## **Security, Openness and Privacy**

### **Intersection of security, openness and privacy.**

- Impact of seizure of domain names, blocking of websites and filtering of networks.
- Role of cyber security operations centers and law enforcement.
- Open space a human right yet openness has increased use of criminal law. Use of criminal law sometimes used to curtail freedom of expression and association.
- Inter-related nature of security, openness and privacy; especially in policy development.
- Sustaining openness and public-space nature of the Internet requires a shared responsibility.



- Existing legislation on security, openness and privacy pre-dates internet expansion. No regard to full multi-stakeholder involvement.
- Responsibility placed on Individual users, families, Internet service providers, independent law makers and regulators, global policy making institutions.
- States must be able to protect their citizens; ensure freedom of expression; service providers and other intermediaries ensure user safety and freedom of expression.
- Ongoing capacity building and education necessary.
- Recent Developments, National and global codes of conduct, declarations/ treaties handled in real time, collaboratively and in MS manner.

# Critical Internet Resources

**Discussions focused on the role of different stakeholders with specific reference to new gTLDs**

New gTLD process managed under ICANN. An open and transparent. Meant to increase innovation and enlarge and broaden the TLD namespace; Foster choice and competition in domain registration; Remove existing limitations to ASCII gTLDs which do not reflect growing Internet reality and needs.

- Not all stakeholders participate or can participate in the consultation process.
- Major Stakeholders Business, Individual users, Governments and communities
- Prohibitive costs for new gTLDs. Consultation process proposed a reduced fee.
- Implications for intellectual property and trademark defenses.
- Increased role of governments the GAC and impact on the final applicant process.

multi-stakeholder participation on the IANA contract. roles and responsibilities of IANA, its' functions and the potential procurement process.

- IANA contract, which currently gives ICANN its powers to create new top-level domains, up for competitive bidding.
- The National Telecommunications and Information Administration issued a request for proposals.
- The Statement Of Work, which defines the IANA contractor's responsibilities, contains a number of deliverables and deadlines.

- Multi-stakeholder bottom-up structures of Internet governance relevant.
- Processes should be inclusive, bottom-up, and multistakeholder.
- Promotion of capacity building to achieve this model to enable meaningful participation.
- Evaluating accountability, transparency, and inclusiveness of the management of Internet resources.

## Taking Stock and the Way Forward

### Reflected on the experiences of the participants.

- Contribution to the development agenda and capacity.
- Considered a great success.
- Increasing importance and relevance of the core IGF issues and multi-stakeholder Internet governance model.
- Increased participation from developing countries and remote participation.
- Themes of development and human rights had pervaded all the main sessions.
- Challenge of supporting the dialogue between each meeting of the IGF.
- New dynamic coalition on public access and libraries announced.

- Need to strengthen youth participation.
- Better use metrics and other sources of data to help inform some of the discussion and to help forge a consensus around the key issues. Avoid repetition.
- Adopt new procedures to focus on knowledge management and knowledge exchange to support capacity building.
- Leadership and financial security of the IGF Secretariat must be secured.

- The format of the IGF, and its founding principles.
- All stakeholders, on an equal and collaborative footing, are integral to any process on the future of Internet governance.
- Tunis Agenda should continue to be the reference point and guide to the responses of the UN to issues of Internet governance.
- IGF role in shaping the public policy debate on internet.
- IGF as a repository of evidence-based insights into effective Internet governance policies. Can we avoid repetition? Can we focus on outputs?
- Azerbaijan IGF in 2012
- Indonesia 2013.

Thank you